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## MICHIGAN STATE <br> UN I VERS I T Y <br> W.K. Kellogg Biological Station Kellogg Farm

Trials featuring winter malting barley varieties and management practices were initiated at Michigan State University in 2016, both at the W.K. Kellogg Biological Station in SW Michigan and on farms in the Saginaw Valley region. Objectives include optimizing yield while also meeting quality parameters for malting. Although more years of research are needed, winter barley has produced high yields of malting quality barley at both locations. This report summarizes the data and observations made from these trials through June, 2018.


Barley is part of
Michigan's agricultural history. Production peaked at just over 300,000 acres harvest in 1919 and again in 1932. As with other cereal grains, barley is suited to Michigan's climate.

Figure 1. A field of winter barley approaching maturity

## Key Observations for Winter Barley Management

1. Deep planting $>1.5$ " has resulted in poor emergence. Seeds should be planted 1" deep at 1.01.4 million seeds per acre
2. Nitrogen fertilizer applied at 75-100 lbs/A at green-up in spring optimized yield without increasing grain protein above the threshold, which should be between 10-12\%.
3. Sulfur and split / late applied nitrogen did not improve yields but split nitrogen applications did increase grain protein
4. Fungicide at flowering increased yield (and can
protect against Fusarium infection)
5. Early planting (last two weeks of September) is advised, but we are still learning about effects of planting date
6. Varieties did not respond to nitrogen applications the same, in terms of yield and grain protein content
7. Barley should be harvested ASAP after grain moisture reaches $13.5 \%$ or below to avoid preharvest sprout. Drying grain is possible with low temperature ( $<100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) systems

## 2017 Winter Barley Management Trial Data

| Variety | $\mathbf{N}$ Rate <br> (lb/A) | Prosaro <br> Fungicide | Yield | \% plump | \% thin | \% Crude <br> Protein | $\frac{\text { RVA (Stirring }}{\text { (number) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Puffin | 0 | Yes | 70.3 | 92.7 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 146 |
| Puffin | 0 | No | 57.2 | 91.9 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 150 |
| Scala | 0 | Yes | 66.9 | 97.8 | 0.5 | 8.6 | 160 |
| Scala | 0 | No | 59.5 | 98.2 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 157 |
| Tepee | 0 | Yes | 67.1 | 96.6 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 135 |
| Tepee | 0 | No | 53.9 | 95.7 | 0.1 | 8.2 | 139 |
| Wintmalt | 0 | Yes | 60.8 | 98.1 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 146 |
| Wintmalt | 0 | No | 51.0 | 98.3 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 139 |
| Puffin | 75 | Yes | 96.8 | 90.3 | 1.1 | 10.4 | 160 |
| Puffin | 75 | No | 89.7 | 90.3 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 161 |
| Scala | 75 | Yes | 93.8 | 97.7 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 178 |
| Scala | 75 | No | 84.9 | 97.5 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 157 |
| Tepee | 75 | Yes | 107.5 | 93.3 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 156 |
| Tepee | 75 | No | 93.5 | 92.6 | 0.5 | 9.5 | 148 |
| Wintmalt | 75 | Yes | 81.4 | 95.4 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 166 |
| Wintmalt | 75 | No | 81.4 | 94.6 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 161 |
| Puffin | 150 | Yes | 92.7 | 85.6 | 2.0 | 13.0 | 161 |
| Puffin | 150 | No | 93.2 | 88.9 | 1.6 | 13.2 | 160 |
| Scala | 150 | Yes | 107.5 | 95.3 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 182 |
| Scala | 150 | No | 94.5 | 96.3 | 0.4 | 11.9 | 181 |
| Tepee | 150 | Yes | 115.5 | 86.3 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 174 |
| Tepee | 150 | No | 104.6 | 91.0 | 0.7 | 11.6 | 177 |
| Wintmalt | 150 | Yes | 91.6 | 91.3 | 1.0 | 12.8 | 168 |
| Wintmalt | 150 | No | 80.5 | 91.7 | 0.8 | 12.5 | 166 |

The data table to the left represents yield and quality data from the 2017 winter barley management study at the W.K. Kellogg Biological Station.

Four varieties were fertilized with three different nitrogen rates. Plots were also split with a fungicide application (Prosaro) at flowering.

Dark green highlighted cells indicate optimum yields / quality scores while light green cells indicate tolerable and red indicates unacceptable (based on the guidelines outlined by the American Malting Barley Association).

## Big Takeaways from 2017 Trial Data

1. Scala \& Tepee responded better to high nitrogen rates than Puffin \& Wintmalt, as exhibited by increased yields and suitable crude protein (CP).
2. Fungicide application improved yields across all four varieties without affecting grain quality.
3. Scala retained a high percentage of plump kernels across all treatments.


The picture above represents the different varieties of winter barley that were planted at the Kellogg Farm for 2018.

## Next steps for 2018 Research Trials

Two varieties ('Puffin' and 'Scala') over three different planting dates (Mid Sept., Early Oct., Late Oct.)

Comparing seven nitrogen rates from 0-175 lbs N/A using the variety 'Puffin'

Evaluation of double crops after winter barley, including soybeans, sorghum sudan and cover crop mixtures with and without irrigation

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& ! \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{diISyaninn givis nvoihoin чวィеəรəy๐！gб $\forall$} \&  \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{UOISUӘłX} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline \＆て乙 \& 672 \& I＇Z6 \& LIT \&  \& $6 \downarrow^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ \& 8＇ZT \& โ \& s＇z \& 8＇18 \& SS \& ガレ6 \& 600 \& YЈЭНЗ \& 17VW OV \& YNOJ <br>
\hline ャてて \& 86I \& $8 \cdot 68$ \& LてT \& でとも \& $\angle て ` \bigcirc$ \& く＇てI \& I \& $9 \times$ \& $9 \cdot 18$ \& 9 S \& $0 \cdot \angle 6$ \& で0t \& หวЭНЗ \& $17 \forall W$ a \& YNOJ <br>
\hline 912 \& TSI \& $0 \cdot 76$ \& 971 \& でで \& カ0＇s \& \＆＇てI \& I \& $9 \times$ \& 9＇18 \& Ss \& が 26 \& L＇0t \& หวэНว \& $17 \forall W$ av \& YNOJ <br>
\hline ع81 \& SOL \& ¢＇s9 \& 86 \& 6 ＇も¢ \& $99^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ \& でとโ \& て \& カて \& 8．9 \& 87 \& ガโ6 \& 0．98 \& ON \& OSI \& ң¢ещи！м <br>
\hline โ9I \& 971 \& カ09 \& IOL \& くてと \& 切も \& $9 \cdot \varepsilon \tau$ \& $\varepsilon$ \& p＇u \& 8.92 \& โع \& $0 \cdot 76$ \& T＇L¢ \& sə入 \& OSI \& みешұи！м <br>
\hline 981 \& 87 \& 9.75 \& †てL \& \＆＇t \& 88.7 \& 6 II \& I \& 8＇L \& 6.62 \& 6 \& $8 \cdot 76$ \& で8غ \& ON \& OSI \& dəə। <br>
\hline L8I \& ZL \& s．os \& てZT \& L＇It \& S8．$\downarrow$ \& I＇ZT \& I \& $0 \cdot \mathrm{Z}$ \& s．6L \& 91 \& L＇68 \& L＇98 \& sə入 \& OSI \& ədəə」 <br>
\hline 19โ \& OL \& 965 \& OZT \& $0 \cdot 8 \varepsilon$ \& t9＇＊ \& s＇ZI \& I \& $8 \cdot 1$ \& て＇6L \& $\downarrow \tau$ \& て＇96 \& 8.07 \& ON \& OSI \& еןеэs <br>
\hline て9โ \& દદโ \& 5.85 \& ててT \& ¢．98 \& $0 L^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ \& $0 \cdot \varepsilon \tau$ \& I \& $6 \cdot 1$ \& て＇6L \& IZ \& ع＇96 \& L＇It \& sod \& OSI \& еןejs <br>
\hline ย9โ \& 6 6て \& $6.5 \downarrow$ \& S6 \& 8．9\％ \& 6L＇力 \& ¢ $¢ \tau$ \& I \& 8.1 \& L＇LL \& $\varepsilon \tau$ \& ع＇z6 \& 9＇ร¢ \& ON \& OSI \& umind <br>
\hline 99โ \& $61 \varepsilon$ \& と＇で \& 26 \& $6.2 \varepsilon$ \& て8＊ \& でと \& โ \& 8.1 \& T．8L \& OZ \& カ－06 \& ¢＇98 \& sod \& OSI \& uyfnd <br>
\hline  \& カt \& L＇8S \& ع6 \& $6.8 \varepsilon$ \& てI＇t \& I＇IT \& $\varepsilon$ \& ＇pu \& t8L \& โع \& 0＇S6 \& T＇6¢ \& ON \& SL \& みешұи！м <br>
\hline 8\＆โ \& $\downarrow \varepsilon$ \& て＇TS \& I6 \& 9 「て \&  \& 8.01 \& て \& $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon$ \& $0 \cdot 6$ \& Lて \& L＇S6 \& て．8¢ \& sod \& SL \& みешұи！м <br>
\hline ย9โ \& 七て \& ع．OS \& ยโI \& $\varepsilon \cdot \angle\rangle$ \& カガカ \& 9.6 \& I \& 6＇I \& T＇18 \& カI \& カ＇ヤ6 \& $\varepsilon 6 \varepsilon$ \& ON \& SL \& әədəə1 <br>
\hline ย9โ \& £乙 \& て＇OS \& $8 \tau 5$ \& で8t \& くガカ \& 0 OT \& โ \& I＇z \& 9＇18 \& 07 \& 9.76 \& 000 \& sə入 \& SL \& ədəə」 <br>
\hline 8¢โ \& て¢ \& L＇ZS \& OTI \& 0 で \& てでも \& LOT \& $\varepsilon$ \& p＇u \& L08 \& IZ \& $6 \cdot 16$ \& ¢「て \& ON \& SL \& еןеכ <br>
\hline $6 \varepsilon \tau$ \& てs \& $\varepsilon \cdot$ ¢S \& ITI \& l＇tt \& 6でも \& カ0I \& $\varepsilon$ \& ＇pu \& でし8 \& Lて \& T．86 \& 0 ¢ $\downarrow$ \& sod \& SL \& еןелs <br>
\hline $\dagger$ ¢ \& โعโ \& で8t \& 68 \& 0＇st \& じカ \& s．OT \& โ \& 9 9 \& 76 \& Lて \& $9 \cdot 76$ \& て＇ऽદ \& ON \& SL \& umf ${ }_{\text {d }}$ <br>
\hline ISI \& t0Z \& $9.5 \downarrow$ \& 16 \& L＇St \& St＇t \& I＇01 \& I \& $8 \cdot 1$ \& 008 \& 62 \& $\downarrow$ ¢6 \& 0＇9¢ \& sə入 \& SL \& $u y n_{d}$ <br>
\hline てદโ \& $\varepsilon \tau$ \& I＇TS \& †8 \& 0．9t \& 20＇t \& S＇6 \& て \& $\dagger^{\wedge}$＇ \& 9.64 \& $\dagger \varepsilon$ \& ¢＇86 \& ¢ 68 \& ON \& 0 \& みешłи！M <br>
\hline 6てT \& £乙 \& て＇6も \& 28 \& 0．9t \& ع0＇t \& ［＇6 \& $\varepsilon$ \& ＇p．u \& 6.62 \& St \& ع＇86 \& $8.8 \varepsilon$ \& sə入 \& 0 \& みешұи！м <br>
\hline StI \& 02 \& L＇9t \& 001 \& ¢＇ts \& $8 \mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ \& ع＇8 \& $\tau$ \& でて \& S＇I8 \& sz \& T＊ 26 \& ع＇0t \& ON \& 0 \& әәdəə1 <br>
\hline 29I \& てて \& 8.87 \& t0I \& I＇ZS \& てでも \& L＇8 \& โ \& でて \& 6．18 \& ¢¢ \& ガL6 \& 9＇6を \& sə入 \& 0 \& әәdәə」 <br>
\hline てદโ \& $\angle 1$ \& $6 \cdot$ TS \& 901 \& ¢．8t \& じ「 \& で6 \& $\varepsilon$ \& p＇u \& L＇I8 \& 62 \& ¢．86 \& I＇tワ \& ON \& 0 \& еןeos <br>
\hline ऽ¢โ \& $0 \varepsilon$ \& $6 \cdot$ TS \& 901 \& $0 \cdot \angle$ \& 9 ¢＇t $^{\text {¢ }}$ \& 6.8 \& $\varepsilon$ \& p＇u \& と＇て8 \& 切 \& て＇86 \& ガカカ \& sə入 \& 0 \& ејеэs <br>
\hline $9 \downarrow$ I \& St \& L＇6t \& 28 \& カ0s \& 8 8＇も \& 6.8 \& I \& $8 \cdot \tau$ \& $\varepsilon \cdot \tau 8$ \& 87 \& て＇S6 \& $\mathrm{s}^{\circ} \angle \varepsilon$ \& ON \& 0 \& uynd <br>
\hline OSI \& IS \& z＇0s \& 98 \& でてS \& とで七 \& 0.6 \& I \&  \& t＇18 \& $9 \varepsilon$ \& I＇S6 \& L＇LE \& sə入 \& 0 \& u！ynd <br>
\hline （mdd） \& （mdd） \& （ $\mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OZ)}$ \& （ $398 \forall_{0}$ ） \& （\％） \& （\％） \& （\％） \& 人1！ \& 10105 \& （\％） \& （u0118V） \& （\％） \& （8m） \& әр！ग！sun」 \& （ $\mathrm{*} / \mathrm{sq7}$ ） \& Кдว！ме <br>
\hline N $\quad$ 」 \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ueวnןs } \\
& \text {-еұәg }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | әseן＾ue |
| :--- |
| －eydiv | \& dO \& 1／S \& |  |
| :--- |
| みом | \& | u！əyold |
| :--- |
| кәриея | \& HOM \& \& | ฉэедұхヨ |
| :--- |
| Hew | \& | 1이이 |
| :--- |
| кәрия | \& | 979/9.. |
| :--- |
| uo | \& 748！əМ ｜әидәу｜ \& \&  \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

